

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v.

ROBERTO LUNA-NAVA,

Defendant

Case No. 8:19cr380

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Part I - Eligibility for Detention

Upon the

☒ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1),
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted.

This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

☒ **B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3)** (*narcotics, firearm, other offenses*): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:

☒ **(1)** an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);

☒ **C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above**

☒ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (*Part III need not be completed.*)

Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention

If one were to find the defendant introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, after considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:

- ☒ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
- ☒ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
 - ☒ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
 - ☒ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
 - ☒ Lack of stable employment
 - ☒ Lack of stable residence
 - ☒ Significant family or other ties outside the United States
 - ☒ Lack of legal status in the United States
 - ☒ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
 - ☒ Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement

- ☒ Use of alias(es) or false documents
- ☒ The nature and circumstances of the offense charged.
- ☒ The current offense involves a controlled substance, firearm, explosive or destructive device.

Defendant has two dates of birth. False documents were found in defendant's home during the search warrant. Defendant has been the subject of two prior drug investigations and when individuals started to be arrested and/or indicted the defendant fled the Norfolk area and disappeared.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: 1/2/2020

s/ Susan M. Bazis
United States Magistrate Judge